## INDUCED CONNECTIONS ON TOTAL SPACES OF FIBER BUNDLES

## IVAN KOLÁŘ

ABSTRACT. We present a construction transforming a general connection  $\Gamma$  on a fibered manifold  $Y \to M$  and a classical connection  $\Lambda$  on its base M into a classical connection on the total space Y by means of a vertical parallelism  $\Phi$  and an auxiliary linear connection  $\Delta$ . The relations to the theory of gauge-natural operators are discussed.

An important problem in the gauge theories of mathematical physics is how a principal connection  $\Gamma$  on a principal bundle  $P \to M$  and a classical connection  $\Lambda$  on its base M induce a connection on the r-th principal gauge prolongation  $W^rP$  of P, [1]. In [3], the authors determine all gauge-natural operators of this type. In [3] and [4], it is clarified that this result is essentially based on an exponential map on P defined by  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$ . In [4] we deduced that this exponential map arises from a classical connection on the total space P that is constructed from  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$ .

In the present paper, we analyze how a general connection  $\Gamma$  on an arbitrary fibered manifold  $Y \to M$  and a classical connection  $\Lambda$  on M induce a classical connection on the total space Y. We clarify that one can use a vertical parallelism  $\Phi \colon Y \times_M E \to VY$ , where  $E \to M$  is an auxiliary vector bundle and VY is the vertical tangent bundle of Y, and a linear connection  $\Delta$  on  $E \to M$ . We write  $(\Gamma, \Lambda, \Phi, \Delta)$  for the resulting classical connection on Y. Our construction covers two important special cases. The first one is the above-mentioned case of a principal connection, the second one concerns a linear connection on an arbitrary vector bundle. Both cases were discussed from the viewpoint of the theory of gauge-natural operators in [5].

In various problems concerning prolongation of connections, we realized that the torsion of the resulting connection involves important

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C05, 58A32.

Key words and phrases: connection, vertical parallelism, geodesic, torsion, covariant differential.

This research was supported by the Ministry of Education of Czech Republic under the project MSM 0021622409 and by GACR under the grant 201/09/0981.

information about the original objects, [5]. So we characterize completely the case  $(\Gamma, \Lambda, \Phi, \Delta)$  is torsion-free. In particular, we introduce the general concept of the covariant differential of a base-preserving morphism of fibered manifolds, that is based on our general theory of Lie differentiation, [5]. As a special case, we obtain the concept of covariant differential  $D_{(\Gamma,\Delta)}\Phi$  of  $\Phi$  with respect to  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$ . The main result is formulated in Proposition 6.

All manifolds and maps are assumed to be infinitely differentiable. Unless otherwise specified, we use the terminology and notation from the book [5].

**1. The connection**  $(\Gamma, \Lambda, \Phi, \Delta)$ . Let  $p: Y \to M$  be a fibered manifold and  $q: E \to M$  be a vector bundle, dim  $Y = \dim E$ .

**Definition 1.** A vertical parallelism on Y is a fibered morphism  $\Phi$ :  $Y \times_M E \to VY$  over  $\mathrm{id}_Y$  such that each restriction  $\Phi_y \colon E_{q(y)} \to V_y Y$  is a linear isomorphism of vector spaces. If  $E = M \times W$  is the product bundle, then  $\Phi$  is said to be of product type.

So every section  $s: M \to E$  defines a vertical vector field  $\varphi(s): Y \to VY$ . In the product case,  $\Phi$  can be interpreted as a map  $Y \times W \to VY$  and every  $w \in W$  determines a vertical vector field  $\varphi(w)$  on Y.

If  $x^i$ ,  $y^p$  are fiber coordinates on Y,  $x^i$ ,  $w^p$  are fiber coordinates on E linear on the fibers and  $\eta^p = dy^p$  are the induced coordinates on VY, then the coordinate expression of  $\Phi$  is

(1) 
$$\eta^p = a_q^p(x, y) w^q.$$

We write  $\tilde{a}_q^p$  for the inverse matrix to  $a_q^p$ .

A general connection  $\Gamma$  on Y can be considered either as a section  $Y \to J^1 Y$  or as a lifting map  $Y \times_M TM \to TY$ , [5]. In both cases, the coordinate expression of  $\Gamma$  is

(2) 
$$dy^p = F_i^p(x, y) dx^i.$$

The equations of a linear connection  $\Delta$  on E are

(3) 
$$dw^p = \Delta_{qi}^p(x)w^q dx^i.$$

By a classical connection  $\Lambda$  on M we mean a linear connection on TM. Its coordinate expression is

(4) 
$$d\xi^i = \Lambda^i_{jk}(x)\xi^j dx^k, \qquad \xi^i = dx^i.$$

Then the differential equations of the geodesics of  $\Lambda$  are

(5) 
$$\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} = \Lambda^i_{jk} \frac{dx^j}{dt} \frac{dx^k}{dt} \,.$$

We construct the induced classical connection  $\Psi = (\Gamma, \Lambda, \Phi, \Delta)$  on Y as a section  $\Psi \colon TY \to J^1(TY \to Y)$ . We decompose  $Z \in T_yY$  into the horizontal part  $hZ = \Gamma(y, Z_0), Z_0 \in T_xM, x = p(y)$  and the vertical part  $vZ = \Phi(y, Z_1), Z_1 \in E_x$ . We take a vector field X on M such that  $j_x^1X = \Lambda(Z_0)$  and construct its  $\Gamma$ -lift  $\Gamma X \colon Y \to TY$ . Further, we consider a section s of E such that  $j_x^1s = \Delta(Z_1)$ .

**Definition 2.** For every  $Z \in T_yY$ , we define

$$\Psi(Z) = j_y^1 (\Gamma X + \varphi(s)).$$

**Proposition 1.** The coordinate expression of  $\Psi$  is (4) and

$$d\eta^{p} = \left(\frac{\partial F_{i}^{p}}{\partial x^{j}} + F_{k}^{p} \Lambda_{ij}^{k}\right) \xi^{i} dx^{j} + \frac{\partial F_{i}^{p}}{\partial y^{q}} \xi^{i} dy^{q}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial a_{r}^{p}}{\partial x^{j}} \tilde{a}_{q}^{r} (\eta^{q} - F_{i}^{q} \xi^{i}) dx^{j} + \frac{\partial a_{s}^{p}}{\partial y^{q}} \tilde{a}_{r}^{s} (\eta^{r} - F_{i}^{r} \xi^{i}) dy^{q}$$

$$+ a_{r}^{p} \Delta_{si}^{r} \tilde{a}_{q}^{s} (\eta^{q} - F_{i}^{q} \xi^{i}) dx^{j}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi^i = X^i(x)$  or  $w^p = s^p(x)$  be the coordinate expression of X or s, respectively. Hence

$$\frac{\partial x^{i}(x)}{\partial x^{j}} = \Lambda_{kj}^{i}(x)X^{k}(x), \quad \frac{\partial s^{p}(x)}{\partial x^{i}} = \Delta_{qi}^{p}(x)s^{q}(x).$$

Then the coordinate expression of  $\Gamma X + \varphi(s)$  is  $\xi^i = X^i(x)$  and

$$\eta^p = F_i^p(x, y) X^i(x) + a_g^p(x, y) s^q(x)$$
.

Differentiating this relation, we obtain (6).

By (4) and (6),  $(\Gamma, \Lambda, \Phi, \Delta)$  is a classical connection on Y that is projectable over the classical connection  $\Lambda$  on M.

In the case of vertical parallelism of product type, one usually considers the trivial connection on  $M \times W$  with  $\Delta_{qi}^p = 0$ . Then we write  $\Psi = (\Gamma, \Lambda, \Phi)$ , cf. [4].

The following lemma generalizes Lemma 3 from [4].

**Lemma 1.** Every  $\Gamma$ -lift  $(x^i(t), y^p(t))$  of a geodesic  $x^i(t)$  of  $\Lambda$  is a geodesic of  $(\Gamma, \Lambda, \Phi, \Delta)$  for arbitrary  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$ .

*Proof.* The  $\Gamma$ -lift satisfies

(7) 
$$\frac{dy^p}{dt} = F_i^p(x(t), y(t)) \frac{dx^i}{dt}.$$

Differentiating (7) and using  $x^{i}(t)$  is a geodesic of  $\Lambda$ , we obtain

(8) 
$$\frac{d^2y^p}{dt^2} = \left(\frac{\partial F_i^p}{\partial x^j} + F_k^p \Lambda_{ij}^k\right) \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt} + \frac{\partial F_i^p}{\partial y^q} \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dy^q}{dt}.$$

But (7) and (8) annihilates the equations of geodesics corresponding to (4) and (6) for every  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$ .

2. Two important special cases. On every principal bundle P(M, G) we have a canonical vertical parallelism of product type  $\Pi \colon P \times \mathfrak{g} \to VP$  defined by the fundamental vector fields. For a principal connection  $\Gamma$  on P, we denoted  $(\Gamma, \Lambda, \Pi) = N(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  in [4]. (This connection was also studied in [5] from the viewpoint of gauge-naturality.) In [4], we described all geodesics of  $N(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  as follows: If z(t) is a  $\Gamma$ -lift of a geodesic x(t) of  $\Lambda$  and g(t) is a one-parameter subgroup of G, then z(t) g(t) is also a geodesic of  $N(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ . (In [4] we assumed that  $\Lambda$  is torsion-free, but one verifies easily that the proof remains unchanged for arbitrary  $\Lambda$ .)

On every vector bundle  $E \to M$ , we have a canonical vertical parallelism  $\mathcal{V}$  determined by the well-known relation  $VE = E \times_M E$ . In [2], see also [5, p.410], J. Gancarzewicz constructed a classical connection  $H(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  on the total space E from a linear connection  $\Gamma$  on  $E \to M$  and a classical connection  $\Lambda$  on M by prescribing certain conditions on the absolute differentiation with respect to  $H(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ . According to [5], if

(9) 
$$dy^p = \Gamma^p_{qi}(x)y^q dx^i$$

is the coordinate expression of  $\Gamma$ , then the equations of  $H(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  are (4) and

$$(10) d\eta^p = \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^p_{qi}}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma^p_{qk} \Lambda^k_{ij} - \Gamma^p_{rj} \Gamma^r_{qi}\right) y^q \xi^i dx^j + \Gamma^p_{qi} (\xi^i dy^q + \eta^q dx^i).$$

On the other hand, our construction yields a connection  $(\Gamma, \Lambda, \mathcal{V}, \Gamma)$ .

**Proposition 2.** We have  $H(\Gamma, \Lambda) = (\Gamma, \Lambda, \mathcal{V}, \Gamma)$ .

*Proof.* Substituting 
$$F_i^p = \Gamma_{qi}^p y^q$$
,  $\Delta_{qi}^p = \Gamma_{qi}^p$  and  $a_q^p = \delta_q^p$  into (6), we obtain (10).

It is interesting that we can determine all geodesics even in the case of  $H(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ . First we deduce

**Proposition 3.** If  $(x^i(t), z^p(t))$  is a  $\Gamma$ -lift of a geodesic  $x^i(t)$  of  $\Lambda$  and  $(x^i(t), y^p(t))$  is an arbitrary geodesic of  $H(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ , then  $(x^i(t), y^p(t) + tz^p(t))$  is also a geodesic of  $H(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\frac{dz^p}{dt} = \Gamma^p_{qi}(x(t)) z^q \frac{dx^i}{dt}.$$

Differentiating this relation and using (5), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2z^p}{dt^2} = \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^p_{qi}}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma^p_{qk}\Lambda^k_{ij}\right)z^q\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^j}{dt} + \Gamma^p_{qi}\frac{dz^q}{dt}\frac{dx^i}{dt} \,.$$

Since  $(x^i(t), y^p(t))$  is a geodesic, it satisfies

$$(11) \qquad \frac{d^2y^p}{dt^2} = \left(\frac{\partial\Gamma^p_{qi}}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma^p_{qk}\Lambda^k_{ij} - \Gamma^p_{rj}\Gamma^r_{qi}\right)y^q\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^j}{dt} + 2\Gamma^p_{qi}\frac{dy^q}{dt}\frac{dx^i}{dt}.$$

Then one verifies directly that  $y^p + tz^p$  satisfies (11) as well.

Consider an arbitrary tangent vector  $(\xi^i, \eta^p)$  of E at  $(x^i, y^p)$ . Take the geodesic  $x^i(t)$  of  $\Lambda$  in the direction  $\xi^i$  and construct its  $\Gamma$ -lift  $(x^i(t), y^p(t))$  through  $(x^i, y^p)$ . We look for a  $\Gamma$ -lift  $(x^i(t), z^p(t))$  such that the tangent vector of  $y^p(t) + tz^p(t)$  at 0 is  $\eta^p$ . This means  $\frac{dy^p}{dt} + z^p(0) = \eta^p$ . But  $\frac{dy^p(0)}{dt} = \Gamma^p_{qi} y^q \xi^i$ , so that our relation determines  $z^p(0)$ .

3. The vertical torsion. We recall that an absolute parallelism on a manifold N, dim N=n, is a map  $S\colon N\times\mathbb{R}^n\to TN$  such that each restriction  $S(y,-)\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to T_yN$  is a linear isomorphism, [6]. Its coordinate expression is  $\eta^p=a_q^p(y)w^q$ . The vector fields  $S(-,w)\colon N\to TN, w\in\mathbb{R}^n$  are called constant vector fields of S. Fixing the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can interpret S as a section  $\sigma\colon N\to P^1N$  of the first order frame bundle of N. Then  $\sigma(N)$  is a reduction of  $P^1N$  to the unit subgroup  $\{e\}$ . We have  $j^1\sigma\colon N\to J^1P^1N$ , that can be viewed as a map of  $\sigma(N)$  into  $J^1P^1N$ . Using right translations, we extend  $j^1\sigma$  into a principal connection  $\Sigma$  on  $P^1N$  that is equivalent to a classical connection on N, [5]. Direct evaluation yields that the Christoffel's of  $\Sigma$  are

(12) 
$$\Gamma_{qr}^p = \frac{\partial a_s^p}{\partial u^r} \tilde{a}_q^s.$$

The torsion  $\tau S$  of S is defined to be the torsion of  $\Sigma$ . A classical assertion (that can be easily verified by direct evaluation) reads that S is torsion-free, iff the bracket of every two constant vector fields vanishes.

Hence a vertical parallelism  $\Phi$  on Y can be viewed as a system of absolute parallelisms  $\Phi_x$  on the individual fibers  $Y_x$ ,  $x \in M$ .

## **Definition 3.** The map

$$\tau \Phi = \bigcup_{x \in M} \tau \Phi_x \colon Y \to VY \otimes \wedge^2 V^* Y$$

is called the torsion of vertical parallelism  $\Phi$ .

For 
$$\Psi = (\Gamma, \Lambda, \Phi, \Delta)$$
, (4), (6) and (12) imply directly

**Proposition 4.** The torsion  $\tau \Psi$  of  $\Psi$  is restrictible to the fibers and the restricted map  $Y \to VY \otimes \wedge^2 V^*Y$  concides with  $\tau \Phi$ .

By (12), the coordinate form of  $\tau \Phi = 0$  is

(13) 
$$\frac{\partial a_s^p}{\partial y^r} \tilde{a}_q^s = \frac{\partial a_s^p}{\partial y^q} \tilde{a}_r^s.$$

**4. Vanishing of the torsion of**  $(\Gamma, \Lambda, \Phi, \Delta)$ . We characterize vanishing of the torsion  $\tau \Psi$  of  $\Psi$  gradually. We write  $\Psi^p_{ij}$ ,  $\Psi^p_{iq}$ ,  $\Psi^p_{qi}$ ,  $\Psi^p_{qr}$  for the corresponding Christoffel's of  $\Psi$ .

First we recall the general concept of Lie derivative of an arbitrary map  $f: M \to N$  with respect to a pair of vector fields  $\xi: M \to TM$  and  $\eta: N \to TN$ , [5]. This is the map

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\xi,\eta)}f = Tf \circ \xi - \eta \circ f \colon M \to TN$$
.

If we consider a section  $s: M \to Y$ , its covariant differential  $D_{\Gamma}s: M \to VY \otimes T^*M$  with respect to  $\Gamma$  satisfies

$$(D_{\Gamma}s)(\xi) = \mathcal{L}_{(\xi,\Gamma\xi)}s$$
 for every  $\xi: M \to TM$ ,

[5]. If we have another fibered manifold  $Z \to M$  with general connection  $\Omega$  of the form  $dz^a = G_i^a(x,z) dx^i$  and a base-preserving morphism  $f: Y \to Z, z^a = f^a(x,y)$ , then the covariant differential  $D_{\Gamma,\Omega}f: Y \to VZ \otimes T^*M$  is defined by

$$(D_{\Gamma,\Omega}f)(\xi) = \mathcal{L}_{(\Gamma\xi,\Omega\xi)}f.$$

Hence its coordinate expression is

(14) 
$$\frac{\partial f^a}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial f^a}{\partial y^p} F_i^p - G_i^a(x, f(x, y)).$$

Consider  $\Phi: Y \times_M E \to VY$ . According to [5, p.255],  $\Gamma$  induces a connection  $\mathcal{V}\Gamma$  on  $VY \to M$  with the coordinate expression (2) and

(15) 
$$d\eta^p = \frac{\partial F_i^p}{\partial u^q} \eta^q dx^i.$$

Further, we construct the product connection  $\Gamma \times \Delta$  on  $Y \times_M E$ . Then  $D_{\Gamma \times \Delta, \mathcal{V}\Gamma} \Phi \colon Y \times_M E \to VVY$ . The values lie in a subbundle characterized by  $V\pi = 0$ , where  $\pi \colon VY \to Y$  is the bundle projection, so that  $V\pi \colon VVY \to VY$ . This subbundle coincides with  $VY \times_Y VY$ .

**Definition 4.** The covariant differential  $D_{(\Gamma,\Delta)}\Phi: Y \times_M E \to VY$  is the second component of  $D_{\Gamma \times \Delta, \nu_{\Gamma}}\Phi$ .

According to (14) and (15), its coordinate expression is

(16) 
$$\left( \frac{\partial a_q^p}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial a_q^p}{\partial y^r} F_i^r + a_r^p \Delta_{qi}^r - \frac{\partial F_i^p}{\partial y^r} a_q^r \right) w^q .$$

By (6), the condition  $\Psi_{qi}^p = \Psi_{iq}^p$  reads

(17) 
$$\frac{\partial a_r^p}{\partial x^i} \tilde{a}_q^r + a_r^p \Delta_{si}^r \tilde{a}_q^s = \frac{\partial F_i^p}{\partial v^q} - \frac{\partial a_s^p}{\partial v^q} \tilde{a}_r^s F_i^r.$$

Then (13) and (16) imply the following assertion.

**Proposition 5.** If  $\tau \Phi = 0$ , then  $\Psi_{qi}^p = \Psi_{iq}^p$  is equivalent to  $D_{(\Gamma,\Delta)}\Phi = 0$ .

Further, if  $\tau \Lambda = 0$  and  $\tau \Phi = 0$  and  $D_{(\Gamma, \Delta)} \Phi = 0$ , where  $\tau \Lambda$  is the torsion of  $\Lambda$ , then  $\Psi_{ij}^p = \Psi_{ji}^p$  is equivalent to

(18) 
$$\frac{\partial F_i^p}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial F_i^p}{\partial y^q} F_j^q = \frac{\partial F_j^p}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial F_j^p}{\partial y^q} F_i^q.$$

We recall that the curvature of  $\Gamma$  is a map  $C\Gamma: Y \to VY \otimes \wedge^2 T^*M$  and (18) is the coordinate form of the relation  $C\Gamma = 0$ , [5]. Thus we have deduced the following assertion.

**Proposition 6.** The torsion of  $(\Gamma, \Lambda, \Phi, \Delta)$  vanishes iff  $\tau \Lambda = 0$  and  $\tau \Phi = 0$  and  $D_{(\Gamma, \Delta)} \Phi = 0$  and  $C\Gamma = 0$ .

## References

- [1] L. Fatibene, M. Francaviglia, Natural and Gauge Natural Formalism for Classical Field Theories, Kluwer, 2003.
- [2] J. Gancarzewicz, Horizontal lifts of linear connections to the natural vector bundles, Research Notes in Math. 121, Pitman, 1985, 318–341.
- [3] J. Janyška, J. Vondra, Natural principal connections on the principal gauge prolongation of a principal bundle, to appear in Rep. Math. Phys.
- [4] I. Kolář, On the gauge version of exponential map, to appear in Rep. Math. Phys.
- [5] I. Kolář, P. W. Michor, J. Slovák, Natural Operations in Differential Geometry, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [6] S. Sternberg, Lectures on Differential Geometry, Prentice Hall, 1964.

Institute of Mathematics and Statistics Masaryk University Kotlářská 2, CZ 611 37 Brno Czech Republic *E-mail*: kolar@math.muni.cz